

From the Mausoleum to the Throne; The Tombs of Naghshe-Rostam and Persepolis from the Point of View of Parseh

Abstract

The tomb complex and building known as the Kaaba of Zoroaster was built in Naqshe-e Rostam in the Achaemenid period. Naghsh-e-Rostam collection, especially the Kaaba of Zoroaster as the spiritual heritage of the Achaemenid kings, has continuously played a dynamic role in the religious, political and spiritual structure of the post-Achaemenid period, which is rooted in the ancient pre-Achaemenid traditions in the ancient Middle East particularly during the Elamite period. The closest interpretation of the function of this building in relation to the Achaemenid tombs and its connection with the Persepolis throne can be meaningful. Understanding the spatial relationship between Nagsh-e-Rostam and Persepolis is functionally significant. Persepolis was formed in the heart of a city and Achaemenid palaces were part of this city. This research, accomplished via a descriptive and analytical method and relying on library data, has sought to examine the environmental zone around Persepolis, known as the city of Parseh, and has made the hypothesis of reconstructing the historical city of Parseh within the range of the 1st degree of Persepolis more conceivable. The findings of the research indicate that according to the surviving works and inscriptions, the collection of works of Naghsh-e Rostam in the Achaemenid, Seleucid, Parthian and Sassanid periods has continually been applied and honored. In the Achaemenid period, the connection of Naghsh-e-Rostam tomb complex as a chief and functional part of Parseh city was related to Persepolis. The tradition of burying the deceased king was performed according to Zoroastrianism and after the burial of the king, the enthronement of the new king was performed in the monument and holy shrine of the Zoroastrian Kaaba.

Research aims:

- 1- An investigation of the location of Naqsh-e-Rostam and the Kaaba of Zoroaster and the reasons for its dependence on the city of Parseh based on geophysical studies.
- 2- A study on the function of the Kaaba of Zoroaster from the Achaemenid period to the end of the Sassanid period.

Research questions:

- 1- What are the usages of the Kaaba of Zoroaster in diverse historical periods?
- 2. What information do geophysical studies provide about the location of the Kaaba of Zoroaster and its dependence on the city of Parseh?

Keywords: Zoroastrian Kaaba, Naghsh-e Rostam, Tomb Collection, Parseh.

Introduction

The royal tomb (necropolis) of Persepolis is located on the northeastern outskirts of Parseh. The tomb tradition of the Achaemenid kings was built from the time of Darius I (521-486 BC) different from that of Cyrus the Great (530-559 BC) on the outskirts of the Parseh residential area and at a distance of 7 km from Persepolis. Darius I chose a rock 60 meters high and carved a square room on the rock, which probably inspired this style of tomb from the region of Anatolia in Asia Minor. The tradition of building tombs (necropolises) outside the outskirts of ancient cities in the Mediterranean, along streams on the coast in front of cities in Egypt, or along roads outside the city in ancient Greece and Rome. In fact, these tombs (necropolises) consisted of several large tomb buildings that were used for several centuries. Darius I carved a large surface at the site of Naghsh-e Rostam on the rock facade of Mount Hussein, where he built three burial mounds of rock coffins in a square room in stone. He placed a stone door on this tomb and completely engraved the lower surface in the lower view of the tomb so that it transferred into a polished surface making it impossible to reach the inside of the tomb. The upper level of the tomb door was dedicated to the symbolic depictions of the king's prayer scene accompanied by the representatives of the satrapies, along with the honoring of the fire and the display of the king's divine deity. He also decorated the facade of both sides of the tomb door in the form of a columned porch with the heads of columns in the anterior shape of two animals similar to the columnar porch of Apadana Hall. Inscriptions in the worship of God, the glory of the king and the description of the land under his territory for the future were engraved on the rocky view of his tomb. His first successors were Xerxes (486-465 BC), Ardashir I (465-425 BC) and Darius II (404-423 BC) in the same place and later Ardashir II (404-359 BC). And Ardashir III (359-338 BC) created similar tombs on the outskirts of Persepolis with decorations similar to the tomb of Darius. Knowledge of the nature and manner of this tomb complex can pave the way for many points of social and political life in ancient times.

No independent work has been written on the subject of the present study, but many tourists, travel writers and archaeologists have visited Naqsh-e-Rostam and written many reports. Published material includes studies of Madame Dieulafoy (1885-1885), Ernst Herzfeld and Zare (1910; Herzfeld 1928, 1941; Sarre & Herzfeld); Byron (1935); Sprengling 1937; Demandt (1968) Borger (1969), Eric Schmidt (Schmidt 1939, 1970), Wurfel (1970), Hinz (1971), Schipmann (1971), Gotch (1972), Michael Roof (Roaf 1974), Nadjmabadi (1976), Shahbazi (1978), Wolfram Kleiss (1976), Borker Klahn (1982), and Sancici-Werdenburg (1983). Among all these scholars, Eric Schmidt published the documents of the archaeological excavations of this collection, which can be said that the detailed description of Eric Schmidt's book provides the result of the most archaeological information about the role of Rostam in the Achaemenid period. The present study seeks to investigate this tomb complex by descriptive-analytical method and by relying on library resource data and field observations.

Conclusion

Comprehensive archaeological studies were carried out in the two northwestern parts of Persepolis and Firoozi complex, where tens of hectares of building complexes including irrigation systems, semi-industrial areas of the city, palaces and monuments were identified to such an extent that according to Askari Chavardi and Kaliri, it seems that the border of the buffer zone, one around Takhtgah, which reached Naqsh-e Rostam and Istakhr to the north, coincided with the borders of the city of Parseh since the archeological evidence provided showed that the city of Parseh had formed over a large area. In the collection of works of the Achaemenid period of Parseh city, a large collection of Persepolis, southern great, northern great, northwestern part of Persepolis in Parseh, Firoozi and Takhtgohar collection, discovered brick mound gate

and surrounding areas, Mehr mountain complex, and especially Naghsh-e-Rostam tomb complex is remarkable, which was built in this vast area on the outskirts of Parseh city in the Achaemenid period. According to ancient relics and inscriptions, the collection of works of Naghsh-e Rostam in the Achaemenid, Seleucid, Parthian and Sassanid periods has continually been used and honored. In the Achaemenid period, the connection of Naghsh-e-Rostam tomb complex as an important and functional part of Parseh city on the outskirts of the city but was related to Persepolis. The tradition of burying the deceased king was performed according to Zoroastrianism in this place, and after the burial of the king, the ceremony of enthroning the new king was performed in this place and in the monumental and holy building of the Kaaba of Zoroaster. After performing religious ceremonies, the king left Nagsh-e-Rostam and sat on the throne in Persepolis. This collection, as the ancient and spiritual heritage of the Achaemenid kings, has always played a dynamic role in the religious, political and religious structure in the religious legitimacy of the post-Achaemenid periods as a belief heritage. The transfer of several thousand years of Mesopotamian and Elamite religious tradition in spiritual ascension and legitimation to the king himself as he ascended the throne in the religious ideological cities of Babylon, Inshushinak in Susa and Choghaznabil, and the continuation of this tradition with more complex but cohesive formations. In addition, the Sassanids in the construction of the Kaaba of Zoroaster in the role of Rostam in the ideological city of Parseh in the Anshan plain shows the evolution of the religious process and the religious legitimacy of the Elamite, Achaemenid and Sassanid governments in southern and southwestern Iran over several millennia.

References

Alvarez-Mon, J. (۲۰۱۳). Elam in the Iron Age. in the Oxford Handbook of Ancient Iran. Edit by: Daniel Thomas Potts, chapter 23. Oxford University Press.

Alram, M. (١٩٨٦.) Iranisches Personennamenbuch, vol. 4, Nomina Propria Iranica in Nummis, Vienna.

Askari Chaverdi, A.&, Callieri, P. (۲۰۱۲. "The Activities of the Iranian Iranian-Italian Joint Archaeological Mission at Persepolis West (Fars, Iran). First Result of the Studies on the Pottery of Achaemenid and Post Achaemenid Age", in Dariosh Studies II Persepolis and its Settlements, ed. G. P. Basello and A. V. Rossi, pp. 225-259, Naples.

Askari Chaverdi, A & P, Callieri. (2017). Persepolis West (Fars, Iran). Report on the field work carried out by the Iranian-Italian Joint Archaeological Mission in 2008-2009, BAR International Series, 2870. Oxford.

Borger, R. (1969). Die dreisprächige untere Grabinschrift des Darius: Akkadische Fassung", in W. Hinz, Altiranische Funde und Forschungen, Berlin, pp. 53-62.

Borker-Klahn, J. (1982). "Mittelelamische Denkmäler & Denkmäler unklarer Zeitstellung", in Altvorderasiatische Bildstelen und vergleichbare Felsreliefs, Mainz, pp. 52-54, 176-177, n. 129, pl. 129; et p. 234, n. 277.

Byron, R. (1935). "An Early Rock Carving at Naqsh-i Rustam", in *Bulletin of the* American Institute for Persian Art Archaeology, Vol. IV, p. 39.

Boucharlat, R., T. de Schacht, & S. Gondet. (2012). Surface Reconnaissance in the Persepolis Plain (2005-2008). New Data on the City Organisation and Landscape Management », in Dariosh Studies II. Persepolis and its Settlements: Territorial System and Ideology in the Achaemenid State, Eds. G.P. Basello, A.V. Rossi. Università degli Studi di Napoli "L'Orientale", Dipartimento Asia, Africa e Mediterraneo, Series Minor, LXXVIII, Napoli, pp. 123-166.

Brunner, C.J. (1974). "The Middle Persian Inscriptions of the Priest Kirdir at Naqsh-i Rustam", in Near Eastern Numismatic, Iconography, Epigraphy and History. Studies in Honour of G. Miles, Beirut, pp. 97-114.

Calmayer, P. (2009). Die Reliefs der Graber V und VI in Persepolis, Mainz: AIT 8.

Demandt, A. (1968). "Studien zur Kaaba-i Zardoscht", in Archäologischer Anzeiger, pp. 520-540, 10 figs.

Dieulafoy, M. (1884-1885). L'art antique de la Perse, Paris, Vol. III, p.71, pl. I-III.

Erdmann, K. (1949). "Die Altäre von Naqsh-i Rustam". in Mitteilungen der Deutschen Orient-Gesellschaft, Vol. 81, pp. 6-15, 8 figs.

Ghirshman, R. (1966). Tchogha Zanbil (Dur-Untash): La ziggurat. Paris: MDP 39. (Persian transl. by A. Karimi, vol. 28. Tehran: Iranian Organization for Cultural Heritage, Handicrafts and Tourism.

Gignoux, Ph. (1968). "L'inscription de Kartir à Sar Mashhad" in *Journal Asiatique*, pp. 387-418.

Gignoux, Ph.1991. "D'Abnun à Mahan. Étude de deux inscriptions Sassanides", in *Studia Iranica*, Tome 20, fasc. 1, pp. ⁹⁻⁷⁷, ill.)

Gotch, P. (1972). "New light on rock-carved monuments at Naksh-i Rustam and Istakhr". in Summaries of Papers to be delivered at the Sixth International Congress of Iranian Art and Archaeology, Oxfrod, Sept. pp. 27-28.

Gnoli, G. (1991). L'inscription de Šābuhr a la Ka'be-ye Zardosht et la propagande sassanide", in Histoire et Cultes de l'Asie Centrale Pré-Islamique. Sources écrites et documents archéologiques (Actes du Colloque international du CNRS, Paris, 22-28 novembre 1988), eds. P. Bernard & F. Grenet, Paris, pp. 57-63.

Haerinck E. and Overlaet, B. (2008). "Altar Shrines and Fire Altars? Architectural Representations on Frataraka Coinage." Iranica Antiqua 43, pp. 207–233.

Henning., W. b. (1937-38). "The great Inscription of Shapur I." in BSOAS., IX. P. 849.

Henning, W.B. (1957). Corpus Inscriptionum Iranicarum. Part III: Pahlavi Inscriptions of the Classical Period. Plates, portfolio II (plate 25-48) The Inscription of Naqsh-i Rustam (1-48), London.

Hinz, W. (1970). "Die Inschrift des Hohenpriesters Karder am Turm von Naqsh-e Rostam", in Archäologische Mitteilungen aus Iran, Neue Folge, Vol. 3, pp. 251-265.

Hinz, W. (1971). "Die Monumente am Dareios Grab", in Antike Welt, pp. 52-53.

Herzfeld, E. (1928). "La sculpture rupestre de la Perse Sassanide", in Revue des Arts Asiatiques, Vol. V, pp. 131-138.

Herzfeld, E. (1941). Iran in the Ancient East, Oxford University Press, Oxford.

Kleiss, W. (1976). Beobachtungen in der Umgebung von Persepolis und Naqš-i Rustam. Archäologische Mitteilungen aus Iran 9: 131-150.

Kleiss, W. (2015). Geschichte der Architectur Irans., Archaeological in Iran und Turan, Band 15, Dietrich Reimer Verlag GMBH, Berlin.

Krefter, F. (1971). Persepolis Rekonstruktionen. Der Wiederaufbau des Frauenpalastes. Rekonstruktionen der Paläste. Modell von Persepolis (Teheraner Forschungen,3), Berlin, 125 p., 3 pl., 35 Beilage.

Herrmann, G., D.N. Mackenzie & R. Howell C. (1989). The Sasanian Rock Reliefs at Naqsh-i Rustam. Naqsh-i Rustam 6, The Triumph of Shapur I (together with an account of the representations of Kerdir); Kerdir's Inscription (Iranische Denkmäler, Lief. 13. Reihe II. Iranische Felsreliefs I), Berlin, 72 pp., 12 figs., 24 pls.

Nadjmabadi, S. (1976). "Eine spätsassanidische Inschrift in Naqš-e Rostam", in Zusammenfassungen der für den VII. Internationalen Kongress für Iranische Kunst und Archäologie vorgesehenen Vorträge, München, 1 p.

Potts, D, T. (2007). Foundation Houses, Fire Altars and the FARATARAKA, Interpreting the Iconography of some Post-Ahaemenid Persian Coins, in Iranica Antiqua, Vol. XLII. PP.271- 297.

Rawlinson. G. (1871). "Family of the Achaemeidae" in Tr. Of History of Herodotus, London. Vol. IV. pp. 209-218.

Roaf, M. (1974). "Two Rock Carvings at Naqsh-i Rustam", in Iran, Vol. 12, pp. 199-200, fig.

Sancisi-Weerdenburg, H. (1983). "The Zendan and the Ka'bah", in Kunst, Kultur und Geschichte der Achämenidenzeit und ihr Fortleben (Archäologische Mitteilungen aus Iran, Ergänzungsband 10), eds. H. Koch & D.N. Mackenzie, Berlin, 1983, pp. 145-151.

Sarre, F. & Herzfeld, E. (۱۹۱۰). Iranische Felsreliefs, Berlin, pp. ٦٧-٩١, p. ٣ sq., pl. I-IV, fig. ١.

Schipmann, K. (1971). "Hinweise auf einige kleinere Monumente bei Naqsh-i Rustam", in *Archäologische Mitteilungen aus Iran*, Neue Folge, Vol. 4, pp. 187-191, pl. 28-30.

Schmidt, E. (1939). The Treasury of Persepolis and other discoveries (Oriental Institute Communications 21), Chicago, 1939, p. 105, fig. 72.

Schmidt, E. (1970). Persepolis III. Excavations at Naqsh-i Rustam, Chicago, pp. 5-76, pp. 121, 122, 127, 129, 134, 136.

Sprengling, M. (1937). "A New Pehlevi Inscription", in American Journal of Semitic Languages and Litteratures, Vol. LIII, pp. 126-144.

Shahbazi, Sh, (1978). Naqsh-e Rustam Illustrated, Institute of Achaemenid Research Publication, n. VIII, Tehran.

Stronach, D. (1975). "Excavations at Pasargadae, Second Preliminary Report", in Iran, Vol. 7, pp. ٢٨-٢٠.

Stronach, D. (1965). "British Archaeology Abroad 1964. British Institute of Persian Studies at Tehran", in Antiquity, Vol. XXXIX, pp. 41-42, pl.X-XII.

Stronach, D. (1976). "Notes on the Tomb of Cyrus, the Zendan, and the Ka'bah", in The Memorial Volume of the VIth International Congress of Iranian Art and Archaeology, Oxford, September 11-16, 1972, Tehran, pp. 313-318.

Stronach, D. (1978). Pasargadae: A Report on the Excavations Conducted by the British Institute of Persian Studies from 1961 to 1963, Oxford University Press, Oxford (Persian transl. by H. Khatib-Shahidi, vol. 1. Tehran: Iranian Organization for Cultural Heritage, Handicrafts and Tourism. In Persian, 1379).

Sumner, W. M. (1986). "Achaemenid Settlement in the Persepolis Plain", American Journal of Archaeology, 90, pp. 3-15.

Wurfel, K. (1970). "Naqsh-i Rustam", in Antike Welt, 1/4, 1970, pp. 3-13, 15 fig.